

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

ANGELO LEE TAYLOR,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:24-cv-282

vs.

PENNYMAC LOAN SERVICES,  
LLC, *et al.*,

District Judge Michael J. Newman  
Magistrate Judge Caroline H. Gentry

Defendants.

---

**ORDER: (1) ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE (Doc No. 2); (2) DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO  
PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* Doc. No. 1); AND (3) DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S  
COMPLAINT (Doc. No. 1) WITH PREJUDICE FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER  
JURISDICTION**

---

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Caroline H. Gentry (Doc. No. 2), to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Plaintiff has not filed objections to the Report and Recommendation, and the time for doing so under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) has expired.<sup>1</sup>

Upon careful review of the foregoing, the Court determines that the Report and Recommendation correctly sets forth the applicable law, is well reasoned, and should be adopted. Accordingly, the Court: (1) **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge in its entirety; (2) **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*; and (3) **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The Court

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff has taken no action in this case since filing his motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and his complaint with attached exhibits (Doc. No. 1) more than six months ago.

**CERTIFIES**, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith, and consequently, **DENIES** Plaintiff leave to appeal this Order *in forma pauperis*.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

May 8, 2025

s/Michael J. Newman  
Hon. Michael J. Newman  
United States District Judge